

# On Using a SDN-based Control Plane in 5G Mobile Networks

Toktam Mahmoodi<sup>†</sup>, Srinu Seetharaman<sup>‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup> King's College London, <sup>‡</sup> Deutsche Telekom  
Contact author: toktam.mahmoodi@kcl.ac.uk

## ABSTRACT

Today's mobile operators face significant challenges with handling the ever-increasing volume of mobile data traffic. With new mobile communication standards, like 4G/LTE, the mobile backhaul architecture has a clear split of a packet-only dataplane and a management plane. Although this new backhaul architecture yields to easier management, we find that this architecture can be improved further by applying the principles of Software-defined Networking (SDN). SDN allows for better evolvability of the dataplane without depending on a slew of management or control protocols, allows for centralized control of the overall infrastructure, and allows for a richer feature set based on its programmable nature. This paper investigates the redesign, and illustrates its potential with mobility management as an example.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Today's mobile operators face significant challenges with handling the ever-increasing volume of mobile data traffic. To address this demand, the network capacity must be increased despite the higher costs to build, maintain and upgrade radio access networks. On the other hand, mobile operators are increasingly turning to small cells (e.g femtocells) to deal with the capacity crunch in dense urban areas and to add coverage in areas with low signal levels. This will result in the increasing rise of mixed mobile networks of WiFi, cellular small cells and traditional base stations, and opens new challenges in optimizing users' access to the networks.

At the same time, the industry has converged on a new standard for the mobile communication – 4G/LTE. With 4G/LTE, the mobile backhaul architecture has a clear split into i) a packet-only dataplane, comprised of base station (eNodeB), Serving Gateways (S-GW) and Packet data network Gateway (P-GW), and ii) a management plane to manage mobility, policies and charging rules, comprised of Mobility Management Entity (MME), Policy Charging and Rules Function (PCRF) and Home Subscriber Server (HSS). Although this new backhaul architecture yields to easier management, we find

that this architecture is still not as evolvable, flexible or programmable as can be.

Like the authors of [1], we posit that the mobile communication architecture can be further improved by applying the principles of Software-defined Networking (SDN). The main design goals for adopting a SDN-based control plane for the mobile backhaul are the following:

- Centralized control of the overall infrastructure: Managing 1000s of cell-sites and the corresponding infrastructure is an arduous task. Mobile backhaul operators have already mastered this aspect. However, having fine-grain control on the devices is crucial for improving state management and enabling other value propositions. Furthermore, there is an increasing signaling overhead and convergence penalty in the current architecture that can only be avoided through centralized control.
- Evolvability of the dataplane: Current dataplane elements keep significant state and speak a slew of management protocols. Applying SDN principles leads to a flattening of the dataplane by simplifying them into pure forwarding elements and exporting the control plane intelligence to a remote controller node. This architecture requires minimal change during feature upgrades and other evolutionary steps.
- programmability: Today's mobile backhaul is capable of offering QoS guarantees and differentiated services to the user, using PCRF nodes. Introducing more new features, however, become increasingly complicated because the architecture was not designed to allow it. For example, distributed and coordinated network policy control can be programmed in the network and it can replaced the traditional centralized or preset models of enforcement. This replacement can significantly optimize the network performance and can reduce the signaling overhead [2]. Programmability can solve these and other similar predicaments.

Although adopting SDN seems like a clear choice, it is yet unclear what the quantitative gains will be. In this paper, we investigate the redesign of the mobile backhaul, and look deeper into its potential gains with mobility management; our metrics of interest are *signaling overhead* and *handover delay*.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: §2 discusses the SDN-based control plane design for the LTE network, and its advantages. In §3 we focus on mobility management as a case study, and provide detailed insights into how the SDN-based design will affect the signaling messages that are communicated between different entities in the mobile network. We conclude the paper in §4.

## 2. SDN-BASED CONTROL PLANE

### 2.1 Background on Mobile Backhaul

Today’s 4G/LTE network starts from the user equipment (*UE*) that connects to a base station (*eNodeB*). The eNodeB directs traffic through a Serving Gateway (*S-GW*) over a tunneling protocol called GPRS Tunneling Protocol (*GTP*). The S-GW serves as a local mobility anchor that enables seamless communication when the user moves from one eNodeB to another. Furthermore, the S-GW tunnels traffic to the Packet data network (*PDN*) Gateway (*P-GW*). The P-GW enforces QoS policies, monitors traffic, and acts as a firewall. Besides data-plane functionalities, the eNodeB, S-GW, and P-GW also participate in several management-plane protocols. In coordination with the Mobility Management Entity (*MME*), they perform signaling to handle session setup, teardown and reconfiguration, as well as mobility, e.g., location update, paging, and handover. The IP-based core network architecture in the LTE, naming the Evolved Packet Core (*EPC*), supports seamless mobility for both intra-technology and inter-technology handovers (e.g., to or from older network technology).

The evolutionary path for the mobile backhaul is riddled with standards and vertical dependencies. Figure 1 shows a summary of the evolution process of the mobile communication standards and the corresponding evolution across the different layers. One aspect that stands out is that the evolution has lacked a cross-layer isolation because the industry has been constantly retrofitting earlier standards with new requirements. We posit that a SDN-based design would allow for evolution of each aspect of the mobile network.

### 2.2 SDN-based Design

Similar to earlier proposal on the software-defined





Figure 1: Evolution of the mobile backhaul.

cellular network[1], we propose a distributed SDN control plane that is deployed in the central office (*CO*) of the carrier. The SDN controller implements multiple applications such as mobility management, pseudowire creation and assignment, allocation of radio resources and many others, while also interworking with other programmable elements in the protocol stack; examples of programmable MAC and PHY are in [3, 4]. Compared to 2G and 3G, the 4G/LTE network is designed in such a way that separation of control plane functionalities fits well within its current architecture of the core and backhaul.

Despite the natural fit, introducing a SDN-based control plane will raise several challenges, including supporting subscriber scale, real-time decision making, and fine-grained measurement and control. Previous work discussed some of these issues. The most important of all is the time scale in which many mobile network decisions are made, which is relatively short comparing to the fixed network, and the rapidly changing nature of wireless media is one of the main reason for that.

The design of control plane can be summarized in the following steps:

- Separating the control plane functionalities in all network entities starting from eNodeB up to the P-GW.
- Implementing the control plane functions as SDN controller applications. The traditional functions incorporated in the dataplane of the mobile network, including mobility management and resource allocation, are decoupled and ported to the control plane.
- Encapsulating the management plane functions within individual virtual machines (VM) that interact with the SDN controller. The SDN controller can potentially have control over the



**Figure 2: SDN controller located within the mobile backhaul and its communications with other entities in the network including eNodeB, S-GW and P-GW.**


number, placement and workload-assignment of instances of each function instantiated as VMs.

- The SDN control plane works in a distributed manner, sharing state among individual controller instances (as can be seen in Figure 2).

Considering 2G, 3G and 4G networks are all simultaneously active in today’s mobile network, a clean slate approach is not justifiable. Thus, we adopt the above approach to ensure that SDN is introduced within the existing operational mobile network. With our approach, we retain the existing management plane, while introducing significant flexibility and programmability through a newly introduced control plane. Since the management plane is also software-defined, we foresee that the control plane may, in the long term, subsume the functions offered by the management plane. This careful staged deployment is crucial in introducing SDN to some of the traditional carrier network<sup>1</sup>.

We require software dataplane agents (like OpenvSwitch[6]), installed in all devices, that can be controlled by a logically centralized SDN controller. There are several hints that the industry is headed in this direction: Mobile backhaul vendors (such as Ericsson) are in the process of implementing OpenFlow support in their devices; cell-site routers can be implemented with commodity OpenFlow switches managed by a RouteFlow-like control plane application[7]; momentum in the industry to implement OpenFlow and OpenvSwitch in mobile handhelds (such as Android [8]). Thus, gradually all dataplane elements in the mobile backhaul will be SDN-

<sup>1</sup>Similar efforts exist in introducing SDN to the access network through OpenFlow-based DSLAM hardware and B-RAS controller[5].



**Figure 3: Design of the SDN controller for the LTE network. The platform essentials are involved in state dissemination, L3 routing, tunnel setup, and interfacing with management plane. The value-added services handle intelligence for automatically managing resources, mobility, DiffServ/QoS and network selection for UE**

enabled, thereby aiding in the evolution of the whole network.

Note that we are still working on identifying the additional primitives that the dataplane elements should support to guarantee all common real-time functions (such as wireless resource allocations). We will present an update of our ongoing work at the main conference.

### 2.3 SDN Controller Implementation

In the SDN-based design, the control plane is decoupled from the data plane and the control aspects are incorporated in a distributed SDN controller. The dataplane is reduced to a set of SDN-enabled routers for the primary task of packet forwarding. The control plane, in this decoupled architecture, handles all necessary signaling and also manages the mobility of the UE.

We envision the SDN controller implementation to be based on OpenFlow [9], with two classes of modules (viz., platform essentials, value-added services) as shown in Figure 3. The SDN controller will push rules to all devices in a proactive manner to handle the large scale of subscribers.

### 2.4 Advantages of SDN

Earlier work[1] presented several examples of features that can be implemented as SDN applications for today’s mobile backhaul; examples include selectively routing traffic through middleboxes, traffic monitoring, infrastructure slicing to allow virtual operators. Similarly, our design can enable new value-added services such as location-based policy adaptation, application customization and location-aware services for the mobile operators.

Adopting SDN in the EPC will help realize the following longer-term benefits:

- Interworking between different mobile standards, like 2G, 3G and 4G, allowing cross-technology handoff without incurring too much signaling and setup time.
- Enabling the introduction of new PHY technologies for improving coverage and throughput. For instance, there are new vendors that improve the performance of small cells through advances in PHY technologies, e.g. Kumu Network and Fast network.

As case studies, we discuss the benefits of SDN-based mobility management in Section 3.

### 3. CASE STUDY: MOBILITY MANAGEMENT IN THE LTE NETWORK


In this section, we first elaborate the handover procedure as performed in the LTE network, along with a brief detail of the signaling involved. We, then, discuss the signaling involved with the mobility of the UE, if the EPC dataplane were SDN-based and the handover is managed by a SDN controller. We detail arguments on the gain achieved in terms of signaling overhead, energy, and handover delay.

#### 3.1 LTE Handover Procedure

The procedure of handover of an UE from one eNodeB to another consists of three main phases: 1) preparation, 2) execution, and 3) completion. Each of these steps involve sets of signaling between UE, eNodeB and the EPC. We elaborate each of these 3 phases below. The exact signaling handshake during the handover is shown in Figure 4.

In the *planning phase*, the UE measures downlink signal strength and analyze the measurements. After that, the UE transmits the measurement report to the serving eNodeB, which allows the eNodeB to make a handover decision. The signaling messages 1 and 2 in Figure 4 represents this phase. In the *execution phase*, the UE detaches from the source eNodeB and synchronizes with the new cell, which corresponds to the signaling messages of 3 to 9. The source eNodeB will transfer the buffered and in-transit packets to the target eNodeB at this stage. In the *completion phase*, the S-GW switches the path to the target cell, exchanges messages with the MME and asks the old cell to release resources. As observed from Figure 4, the majority of the signaling messages of this phase are communicated over the backhaul; the bandwidth of the backhaul compromises the most scarce resources in the mobile network.

#### 3.2 Handover when using SDN controller at the EPC



**Figure 4: Handover signaling in today’s LTE network. The red dashed line represents user data. The blue line represents L1/L2 signaling. The black line represents L3 signaling.**

In the above scenario, handover is eNodeB-driven and majority of the signaling messages are communicated between source and target eNodeBs, or between eNodeBs and the MME. After the execution of handover, dataplane forwards the buffered packets and subsequent data packets to the target eNodeB. Using an SDN controller can provide a common control protocol (e.g., OpenFlow) that manages multiple eNodeBs and works across different technologies. The controller can push new forwarding rules and, thus, various request/confirm messages between eNodeBs (or other wireless access points) can be avoided. The OpenFlow-enabled routers in the dataplane will enable incoming packets to be routed according to the flow action corresponding to the handover decision. Finally, selection of the target eNodeB can be based on a set of pre-defined policies. Applying these modifications to the procedure of handover affects the sequence of signaling messages that was previously shown in Figure 4. We elaborate the effect of this new mo-

bility management architecture on the power consumption of the UE and the handover signalling in the following sections.

### 3.3 Gain at the UE side

At the UE side, one of the main constraints is power, i.e., the battery energy is one of the most precious resources at the UE. The energy is consumed mostly in the planning phase, where the UE scans and measures the received signal strength of all available radio access networks. This phase, however, can be considerably shortened by scanning only a subset of radio accesses. The SDN controller can greatly facilitate in this shortening of the planning phase by keeping the record of available access networks and their potential to offer services, and remotely controlling the OpenvSwitch embedded in the UE to select the right network. In the event of no SDN support at the UE, the UE can at least query the central SDN control plane to obtain the necessary information. Thus, in case of handover, the scanning and measurement phase can be shortened and this results in considerable saving in power consumption. Quantifying the exact power saving, is part of our ongoing research effort.

### 3.4 Gains in the Backhaul

At the backhaul side, the scarcity of communication resources is more crucial and, thus, reducing the signaling message overhead between various entities is one of the major goals. In this regard, we focus on the signaling messages that can be avoided by introducing the SDN controller at the backhaul. We estimate that *the number of signaling messages can be reduced to less than 50%*. According to a recent report[10], signaling traffic grows 3 times faster than mobile data traffic through 2016. Thus, the significant reduction in handover signaling, viz., 50%, could greatly contribute to reducing the signaling overhead and the total traffic carried in the backhaul.

It is worth noting that, in addition to reducing the communication overhead, the signaling in the SDN-based architecture also reduces the handover delay.<sup>2</sup> This handover delay is even more significant in the heterogeneous scenarios and in the handover between multiple technologies. Our hypothesis is that the handover delay can be reduced to 2-3 times the round-trip time (RTT) between the UE and the SDN controller. Thus, we gain a faster handover and lower packet loss when using a SDN-based con-

<sup>2</sup>An Ericsson test report states that the handover delay can be 0.7secs when both planning and execution phases are included[11]. This delay can have a worst case value of up to 2 minutes during handover between 3G and LTE networks[12].

trol plane.

The signaling messages that can be omitted are detailed below:

#### *Handover Request and Handover Request ACK.*

Once a measurement report is received from the source eNodeB and the target eNodeB, and a handover decision is made, the flow table of the SDN-enabled router can be programmed to route subsequent packets to the target eNodeB. Thus, further signaling messages between the two eNodeBs to complete the handover can be avoided. The SDN router signals the target eNodeB to synchronize with the UE.

#### *SN Status Transfer, Path Switch Request, Path Switch Request ACK, User Plane Update Request, User Plane Update Reply.*

With these messages, the MME that has the “track area list” can update the flow table and have the S-GW buffer packets in transit. This reduces the unnecessary buffering of packets from the source eNodeB and allows us to release the source eNodeB resources prior to handover.

#### *Release Resource.*

The source eNodeB can release resources without notification and the flow will be directed to the target eNodeB after the UE synchronizes with it.

## 4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper, we build upon an earlier work[1] to propose a backward-compatible modular redesign of the backhaul of mobile networks, based on the principles of Software-defined Networking (SDN). We argue that the new design based on the centralized SDN controller not only allows for programmability and evolution of the mobile networks, but also in the long run reduces various maintenance and upgrade costs of the network. Moreover, the SDN-based controller can facilitate several value-added services, including policy-based services, for the mobile operators.

In addition to presenting our envisioned design of the SDN-based backhaul for the LTE/4G mobile network, we look deeper into the case study of mobility management and observe the advantages achieved by reducing the 1) energy consumption at the UE, 2) the communication overhead of the signaling over the backhaul, and 3) the handover delay for UEs. Our main future work is towards quantifying the gain through a realistic implementation. Our back-of-the-envelope calculation shows at least a 50% reduction in signaling overhead. Considering the number of mobile subscribers worldwide has

crossed 6 billion in 2012 [13], and the high growth of signaling traffic [10], the saving has a tremendous impact on the overall backhaul infrastructure.

#### 4.1 Future work on use-cases

Besides the quantification of the impact during mobility, we plan to examine two other use-cases: 1) the mobile data offloading, and 2) the selection of access networks for offloading flows. This is particularly challenging in today's mobile networks because of the increased heterogeneity in the small cells and their co-existence with the macro cells. Such challenges motivated standard bodies to elaborate the interworking between LTE and other technologies [14], and also industries to investigate various offloading strategies [15]. Furthermore, increased in the heterogeneity of radio accesses, and mobile devices are equipped with multiple radios, open new challenges in the selection of radio access networks and results in fairly complex algorithms [16]. Cloud-assisted execution, and also selecting the network based on wisdom of the crowd, can be an alternative solution to reduce the complexity of task at the UEs [17]. In the architecture presented in [17], an aggregated set of information from UEs' experience in connection to different radio access networks is stored at a local cloud and can be accessed by all neighboring UEs in making the selection decision. One of the best candidate for such a point of reference is the SDN-controller, where properties of the access networks of different technologies are available. Furthermore, various policies such as charging and accounting policies, and those related to the QoS, which affect the selection of network can remotely control the OpenvSwitch embedded in the UE.

#### 4.2 Future work on platform challenges

Among challenges in enabling such a design, compatibility with the current architecture of mobile network is one of the most crucial, mainly because network infrastructure belongs to various operators and covers range of technologies. Another challenge that is specific to the mobile network is the time-frame in which decisions related to the allocation of wireless and network resources should be made. Our aim is to extend our design and implementation of the SDN controller to address the functionalities of the mobile networks, the policies with which routing and mobility issues are addressed, and the physical location in which various network services can be offered depending on their delay constraint or their running time-frame.

## 5. REFERENCES

- [1] Li Erran Li, Z. Morley Mao, and Jennifer Rexford, "Toward Software-Defined Cellular Networks," in *Proc. European Workshop on Software Defined Networking*, October 2012.
- [2] Sandvine Inc., "Distributed Decisions: Hierarchical Network Policy Control," August 2011.
- [3] I. Tinnirello, G. Bianchi, P. Gallo, D. Garlisi, F. Giuliano, and F. Gringoli, "Wireless MAC processors: Programming MAC protocols on commodity Hardware," in *Proc. IEEE INFOCOM*, June 2012, pp. 1269–1277.
- [4] Manu Bansal, Jeffrey Mehlman, Sachin Katti, and Philip Levis, "OpenRadio: A Programmable Wireless Dataplane," in *ACM SIGCOMM Workshops*, August 2012.
- [5] "Floating BRAS: Example of how SDN enables virtualized service nodes over access/aggregation network," Open Networking Summit demo, April 2012.
- [6] "OpenVSwitch: An Open Virtual SWitch," <http://openvswitch.org>.
- [7] "RouteFlow project," <https://sites.google.com/site/routeflow/>.
- [8] K. K. Yap, et al., "Making use of all the networks around us: a case study in android," in *Proceedings of the ACM SIGCOMM CellNet workshop*, August 2012.
- [9] Nick McKeown, Tom Anderson, Hari Balakrishnan, Guru Parulkar, Larry Peterson, Jennifer Rexford, Scott Shenker, and Jonathan Turner, "OpenFlow: enabling innovation in campus networks," *ACM Sigcomm CCR*, vol. 38, no. 2, 2008.
- [10] "Tekelec LTE Diameter Signaling Index: Forecast Report and Analysis 2011-2016," Tekelec White paper, 2012.
- [11] "Voice Handover in LTE Network," Ericsson White Paper, October 2012.
- [12] "Modem Handoff from 3G to LTE can take Two minutes," <http://www.cellular-news.com/story/46917.php>, April 2012.
- [13] "Total Fixed and Mobile Subscribers Pivot," Infonetics Research, April 2012.
- [14] "3GPP TS 23.402 V10.7.0: Architecture enhancements for non-3GPP accesses," <http://www.cellular-news.com/story/46917.php>, March 2013.
- [15] "Architecture for Mobile Data Offload over WiFi Access Networks," Cisco White Paper, 2012.
- [16] D. Niyato and E. Hossain, "Dynamics of Network Selection in Heterogeneous Wireless Networks: An Evolutionary Game Approach," *IEEE Trans. Vehicular Technology*, vol. 58, no. 4, pp. 2008–2017, 2009.
- [17] Toktam Mahmoodi, "Network Selection for Autonomous Mobile Devices," in *Proc. IEEE Wireless on Demand Services (WoNS)*, March 2013.