

i.e. Let $\psi = \int d\alpha \psi_\alpha \underline{u}_\alpha = \int d\alpha \langle \underline{u}_\alpha | \psi \rangle \underline{u}_\alpha$

and $\psi = \int d\beta \psi_\beta \underline{u}_\beta = \int d\beta \langle \underline{u}_\beta | \psi \rangle \underline{u}_\beta$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \langle \psi | \psi \rangle &= \langle \int d\alpha \langle \underline{u}_\alpha | \psi \rangle \underline{u}_\alpha, \int d\beta \langle \underline{u}_\beta | \psi \rangle \underline{u}_\beta \rangle \\ &= \overline{\int d\alpha \langle \underline{u}_\alpha | \psi \rangle} \int d\beta \langle \underline{u}_\beta | \psi \rangle \langle \underline{u}_\alpha | \underline{u}_\beta \rangle \\ &= \int d\alpha \langle \psi | \underline{u}_\alpha \rangle \int d\beta \langle \underline{u}_\beta | \psi \rangle \langle \underline{u}_\alpha | \underline{u}_\beta \rangle \\ &= \int d\alpha \langle \psi | \underline{u}_\alpha \rangle \langle \underline{u}_\alpha | \psi \rangle. // \end{aligned}$$

Probabilistic Interpretation.

The probability of a system in a state $|\psi\rangle$ to be between the eigenstates $|\underline{u}_\alpha\rangle$ and $|\underline{u}_{\alpha+d\alpha}\rangle$ is:

$$P(\alpha) d\alpha \equiv \frac{|\langle \underline{u}_\alpha | \psi \rangle|^2}{\langle \psi | \psi \rangle} d\alpha = \frac{|\psi_\alpha|^2}{\langle \psi | \psi \rangle} d\alpha.$$

i.e. if $|\psi\rangle$ is normalised such that $\langle \psi | \psi \rangle = 1$ then the coefficients $\psi_\alpha \equiv \langle \underline{u}_\alpha | \psi \rangle$ of the continuous basis vectors square to give the probability of being in state $|\underline{u}_\alpha\rangle$.

Transformations Between Different Bases.

Given a state $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ it can be expressed in different bases of $\mathcal{H}$ using the completeness relation.

For example let $\{\underline{u}_n\}$ be a countable basis and $\{\underline{v}_\alpha\}$ be a continuous basis, then:

$$\langle \underline{v}_\alpha | \psi \rangle = \psi_\alpha, \quad \langle \underline{u}_n | \psi \rangle = \psi_n.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_\alpha &= \langle v_\alpha | \psi \rangle \\ &= \sum_n \langle v_\alpha | u_n \rangle \langle u_n | \psi \rangle \\ &= \sum_n u_n(\alpha) \psi_n.\end{aligned}$$

Where $u_n(\alpha) \equiv \langle v_\alpha | u_n \rangle$.

While,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_n &= \langle u_n | \psi \rangle \\ &= \int d\alpha \langle u_n | v_\alpha \rangle \langle v_\alpha | \psi \rangle \\ &= \int d\alpha u_n^*(\alpha) \psi_\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

The Schrödinger Equation.

Just as the (dynamical) time-evolution of a system represented in phase space is given by Hamilton's equations, the time evolution of a quantum system is described by Schrödinger's equation:

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi(t) = \hat{H} \psi(t).$$

A typical Hamiltonian in the positions basis is

$$\hat{H} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \sum_i \frac{1}{m_i} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_i^2} + \sum_i V(q_i)$$

Theorem: The inner product is time-independent.

Proof: (for typical $\hat{H}$).
 $\langle \psi, \varphi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d^*q \, \psi_q^* \varphi_q.$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{So, } \frac{d}{dt} \langle \psi, \varphi \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d^*q \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\psi_q^*) \varphi_q + \psi_q^* \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\varphi_q) \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d^*q \left(+i \frac{1}{\hbar} (\hat{H}^* \psi_q^*) \varphi_q + \psi_q^* \left(-i \frac{1}{\hbar} \hat{H} \varphi_q \right) \right)\end{aligned}$$

Using Schrödinger's equation we have $-i\hbar \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \psi^* = \hat{H}^* \psi^*.$

For the typical Hamiltonian $\hat{H}^* = \hat{H}$ and we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \langle \psi | \psi \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d^n q \left(\frac{i\hbar}{2} \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \sum_i \frac{1}{m_i} \frac{\partial^2 \psi^*}{\partial q_i^2} + \sum_i V(q_i) \psi^* \right) \psi \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \psi^* \left(-\frac{i\hbar}{2} \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2} \sum_i \frac{1}{m_i} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial q_i^2} + \sum_i V(q_i) \psi \right) \right) \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d^n q \left(-\frac{i\hbar}{2} \sum_i \frac{1}{m_i} \left[\frac{\partial^2 \psi^*}{\partial q_i^2} \psi - \psi^* \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial q_i^2} \right] \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} d^n q \left(-\frac{i\hbar}{2} \sum_i \frac{1}{m_i} \left(\frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial q_i} + \frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial q_i} \right) + \dots \right) \\ &= 0 \quad \text{if the surface term vanishes.} \end{aligned}$$

N.B. The surface term is $\left[\frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial q_i} \psi - \psi^* \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial q_i} \right]_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{i\hbar}{2} \sum_i \frac{1}{m_i} \right)$

and well behaved ψ and ψ^* (which have compact support on $\mathbb{R}^n$) will vanish at infinity.

The probability density $\rho(q, t) = \psi^*(q, t) \psi(q, t)$ is

conserved: $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int d^n q \rho(q, t) = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Equivalently, } \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho(q, t)) &= \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} \left(\frac{-i\hbar}{2m_i} \left[\frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial q_i} \psi - \psi^* \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial q_i} \right] \right) \\ &= -\sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} J^i \end{aligned}$$

↑
The surface term.
(once $\int d^n q$ is removed.)

J^i is called the probability current and is:

$$J^i \equiv \frac{i\hbar}{2m} \left(\frac{\partial \psi^*}{\partial q_i} \psi - \psi^* \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial q_i} \right)$$

$$\therefore \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho) + \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} J^i = 0.$$

The Heisenberg and Schrödinger Pictures.

1. The Schrödinger Picture.

In the Schrödinger picture the states are time-dependent $\Psi = \Psi(q, t)$ but the operators do not: $\frac{d}{dt} A = 0$.

For the states we solve the Schrödinger equation:

$$i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} |\Psi(t)\rangle = \hat{H} |\Psi(t)\rangle$$

Giving a formal solution:

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = e^{-\frac{i\hat{H}}{\hbar}t} |\Psi(t)\rangle|_{t=0}.$$

In the energy / Hamiltonian eigenvector basis:

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = \sum_n |E_n\rangle \langle E_n | \Psi(0) \rangle e^{-iEt/\hbar}.$$

$$\text{i.e. } \hat{H} |\Psi(0)\rangle = E |\Psi(0)\rangle. \quad (\text{also } |\Psi(t)\rangle = e^{-\frac{iEt}{\hbar}} |\Psi(0)\rangle).$$

2. The Heisenberg Picture.

In the Heisenberg picture the states are time-independent but the operators are time-dependent:

$$|\Psi\rangle_H = e^{+i\hat{H}t/\hbar} |\Psi(t)\rangle_S = |\Psi(0)\rangle_S.$$

While,

$$A_H(t) = e^{i\hat{H}t/\hbar} A_S e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar}.$$

Theorem: The picture changing transformations leave the inner product invariant.

Proof: ${}_H \langle \varphi | \psi \rangle_H = {}_S \langle \varphi | e^{i\hat{H}t/\hbar} e^{i\hat{H}t/\hbar} | \psi \rangle_S = {}_S \langle \varphi | \psi \rangle_S.$

Theorem: The operator matrix elements are also invariant under the picture-changing transformations.

Proof: ${}_H \langle \varphi | A(t) | \psi \rangle_H = {}_S \langle \varphi | e^{i\hat{H}t/\hbar} A(t) e^{i\hat{H}t/\hbar} | \psi \rangle_S.$
 $= {}_S \langle \varphi | e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar} e^{i\hat{H}t/\hbar} A_S e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar} e^{i\hat{H}t/\hbar} | \psi \rangle_S.$
 $= {}_S \langle \varphi | A_S | \psi \rangle_S.$

The dynamics is now described by:

$$\frac{d}{dt} (A_H(t)) = \frac{i\hat{H}}{\hbar} A_H(t) - A_H(t) \frac{i\hat{H}}{\hbar} = \frac{i}{\hbar} [\hat{H}, A_H]$$

$$\therefore i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} (A_H(t)) = [A_H(t), \hat{H}].$$

N.B. the canonical commutation relations are unchanged by picture changing:

$$[\hat{q}_i, \hat{p}_j] = i\hbar \delta_{ij}.$$

i.e. $\hat{q}_i(t) = e^{i\hat{H}t/\hbar} \hat{q}_i e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar}.$

$$\hat{p}_j(t) = e^{i\hat{H}t/\hbar} \hat{p}_j e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar}.$$

then, $[\hat{q}_i(t), \hat{p}_j(t)] = e^{i\hat{H}t/\hbar} [\hat{q}_i, \hat{p}_j] e^{-i\hat{H}t/\hbar}$
 $= i\hbar \delta_{ij}.$

Examples.

1. The Harmonic Oscillator.

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} m \dot{q}^2 - \frac{1}{2} k q^2$$

$$\text{e.o.m.:} \quad \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{q}} \right) - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial q} = m \ddot{q} + k q = 0.$$

$$\therefore \ddot{q} = -\frac{k}{m} q$$

$$(\Rightarrow) \quad q = A \cos(\omega t) + B \sin(\omega t)$$

with $\omega = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}$.

$$p = m \dot{q}$$

$$\text{Hamiltonian: } H = \dot{q} p - \mathcal{L}$$

$$= \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{k}{2} q^2.$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 q^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2m} \right) p^2.$$

$$\text{Quantisation: } (q, p) \rightarrow (\hat{q}, \hat{p}).$$

Now introduce the variables:

$$\alpha = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}} \left(\hat{q} + \frac{i}{m\omega} \hat{p} \right), \quad \alpha^\dagger = \sqrt{\frac{m\omega}{2\hbar}} \left(\hat{q} - \frac{i}{m\omega} \hat{p} \right)$$

Then observe that:

$$\begin{aligned} [\alpha, \alpha^\dagger] &= \frac{m\omega}{2\hbar} \left[\hat{q} + \frac{i}{m\omega} \hat{p}, \hat{q} - \frac{i}{m\omega} \hat{p} \right] \\ &= \frac{m\omega}{2\hbar} \left(\left[\hat{q}, \frac{i}{m\omega} \hat{p} \right] + \left[\frac{i}{m\omega} \hat{p}, \hat{q} \right] \right) \\ &= \frac{m\omega}{2\hbar} \left(\frac{\hbar}{m\omega} + \frac{\hbar}{m\omega} \right) \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Now } \hat{q} = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}} (\alpha + \alpha^\dagger), \quad \hat{p} = -i \sqrt{\frac{\hbar m\omega}{2}} (\alpha - \alpha^\dagger)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \hat{H} &= \frac{1}{2} m \omega^2 \left(\frac{\hbar}{2m\omega} \right) (\alpha + \alpha^\dagger)(\alpha + \alpha^\dagger) + \frac{1}{2m} (-1) \left(\frac{\hbar m\omega}{2} \right) (\alpha - \alpha^\dagger)(\alpha - \alpha^\dagger) \\ &= \frac{\hbar\omega}{4} \left[(\alpha + \alpha^\dagger)(\alpha + \alpha^\dagger) - (\alpha - \alpha^\dagger)(\alpha - \alpha^\dagger) \right] \\ &= \frac{\hbar\omega}{2} (\alpha \alpha^\dagger + \alpha^\dagger \alpha). // \end{aligned}$$

Using $[\alpha, \alpha^\dagger] = \alpha\alpha^\dagger - \alpha^\dagger\alpha = 1$ we find:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H} &= \frac{1}{2}\omega\hbar(1 + 2\alpha^\dagger\alpha) \\ &= \omega\hbar\left(\frac{1}{2} + \alpha^\dagger\alpha\right).\end{aligned}$$

The Hilbert space can then be constructed as follows:

Let $|n\rangle$ be a basis such that $\hat{H}$ is diagonalised:

$$\hat{H}|n\rangle \equiv E_n|n\rangle.$$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Now, } [\hat{H}, \alpha^\dagger] &= \frac{1}{2}\omega\hbar\alpha^\dagger + \omega\hbar\alpha^\dagger\alpha\alpha^\dagger \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}\omega\hbar\alpha^\dagger - \omega\hbar\alpha^\dagger\alpha^\dagger\alpha \\ &= \omega\hbar\alpha^\dagger[\alpha, \alpha^\dagger] \\ &= \omega\hbar\alpha^\dagger.\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Similarly, } [\hat{H}, \alpha] = -\omega\hbar\alpha.$$

$$\begin{aligned}\therefore \hat{H}\alpha^\dagger|n\rangle &= (\alpha^\dagger\hat{H} + \omega\hbar\alpha^\dagger)|n\rangle \\ &= (E_n + \omega\hbar)\alpha^\dagger|n\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

$$\text{And, } \hat{H}\alpha|n\rangle = (E_n - \omega\hbar)\alpha|n\rangle.$$

i.e. $\alpha^\dagger$ is the creation operator and α is the annihilation operator.

Recall that $\langle 1 \rangle \geq 0$ on a Hilbert space.

$$\text{In particular, } \langle n | \alpha^\dagger \alpha | n \rangle \geq 0$$

$$\langle n | \frac{\hat{H}}{\omega\hbar} - \frac{1}{2} | n \rangle \stackrel{\parallel}{=} \left(\frac{E_n}{\omega\hbar} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \geq 0.$$

$$\therefore E_n \geq \frac{1}{2}\omega\hbar.$$

$\therefore$ The ladder operators cannot create an infinite series of eigenstates in the negative energy direction. The eigenstates must terminate:

$$\hat{H} \alpha |n\rangle = (E_n - \omega \hbar) \alpha |n\rangle$$

$$\hat{H} \alpha^2 |n\rangle = (\hat{H} \alpha \alpha) |n\rangle$$

$$= ((\alpha \hat{H} - \omega \hbar \alpha) \alpha) |n\rangle.$$

$$= (\alpha (\alpha \hat{H} - \omega \hbar \alpha) - \omega \hbar \alpha^2) |n\rangle$$

$$= (E_n - 2\omega \hbar) \alpha^2 |n\rangle.$$

$$\text{And, } \hat{H} \alpha^k |n\rangle = (E_n - k\omega \hbar) \alpha^k |n\rangle.$$

As $E_n \geq \frac{1}{2} \omega \hbar$ there must be a ground state eigenfunction $|0\rangle$ such that $\alpha |0\rangle = 0$. In fact if $\alpha |0\rangle = 0$ then $\langle 0 | \alpha^\dagger \alpha | 0 \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow E_0 = \frac{1}{2} \omega \hbar$. and the Hilbert space can be constructed by acting on $|0\rangle$ with $\alpha^\dagger$.

More concretely we can find an n -particle eigenstate:

$$|n\rangle \quad \text{with energy } E_n = \frac{1}{2} \omega \hbar + n \omega \hbar.$$

The operator $N = \alpha^\dagger \alpha$ is the number operator and counts the number of particles/excitations as:

$$\langle n | \alpha^\dagger \alpha | n \rangle = \langle n | \frac{\hat{H}}{\omega \hbar} - \frac{1}{2} | n \rangle = (\frac{1}{2} + n - \frac{1}{2}) \langle n | n \rangle = n.$$

We would like to find $|n-1\rangle$ from $|n\rangle$. Let us denote

$$|n-1\rangle = k \alpha |n\rangle$$

$$k \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Then,

$$\langle n-1 | \alpha^\dagger \alpha | n-1 \rangle = \langle n | \alpha^\dagger k^* \alpha^\dagger \alpha k \alpha | n \rangle$$

$$= |k|^2 \langle n | \alpha^\dagger \left(\frac{\hat{H}}{\hbar \omega} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \alpha | n \rangle$$

$$= |k|^2 \left(\langle n | \alpha^\dagger \left(\alpha \frac{\hat{H}}{\hbar \omega} - \alpha \right) | n \rangle - \frac{1}{2} \langle n | \alpha^\dagger \alpha | n \rangle \right)$$

$$= |k|^2 \left(\langle n | \alpha^\dagger \alpha \left(\frac{1}{2} + n \right) \alpha \alpha | n \rangle - \frac{1}{2} n \right)$$

$$= |k|^2 \left(\left(\frac{1}{2} + n \right) n - n - \frac{1}{2} n \right)$$

$$= |k|^2 (n(n-1)).$$

$$= (n-1).$$

$$\Rightarrow k = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

$$\therefore \alpha |n\rangle = \sqrt{n} |n-1\rangle$$

and similarly,

$$\alpha^\dagger |n\rangle = \sqrt{n+1} |n+1\rangle.$$

$$\text{With } \alpha |0\rangle = 0.$$