An Introduction to Dynamical Systems and Fractals: 2

David Broomhead The School of Mathematics and Centre for Interdisciplinary Computational and Dynamical Analysis (CICADA), The University of Manchester.

Funded by the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EPSRC)

and the University of Manchester.

Overview

Overview

- A Simple Digital Channel
- What is going on here?
- Parameter dependence
- The gasket examples
- The symbol space
- Hyperbolic Iterated Function
 Systems
- Addressing K
- IFSs with overlaps
- The channel example and Cantor sets
- Forward iteration
- Conclusion

In yesterday's talk we covered the first two parts of the plan

- Some properties of the Cantor set
- Ways to characterise fractals
- Hyperbolic iterated function systems (IFSs)
- Semi-infinite strings of symbols seen as a metric space
- Topological equivalence with the Cantor set
- Non-hyperbolic IFSs
- Digital forcing/controlling of an inverted pendulum

Now let's move on to discuss iterated function systems.

A Simple Digital Channel

• First order system driven by a clocked random pulse sequence:

- A Simple Digital Channel
- What is going on here?
- Parameter dependence
- The gasket examples
- The symbol space
- Hyperbolic Iterated Function
 Systems
- $\bullet \operatorname{Addressing}\, K$
- IFSs with overlaps
- The channel example and Cantor sets
- Forward iteration
- Conclusion



$$\xi(t) = \sum_{p} a_{p}g(t - p\tau)$$

- $\{a_p\} \in \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ are the input symbols
- g is supported on $(0, \tau)$.
- Symbols input at constant rate, τ^{-1} .
- In the examples, g is a raised cosine.
- In the numerical example $\gamma \tau = \log 3$
- Plotting the samples $\{y_n \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} y(n\tau)\}$ gives a Cantor set.



• Integrate the ODE for one sample period, τ .

Overview

• A Simple Digital Channel

• What is going on here?

- Parameter dependence
- The gasket examples
- The symbol space
- Hyperbolic Iterated Function
 Systems
- $\bullet \operatorname{Addressing}\, K$
- IFSs with overlaps
- The channel example and Cantor sets
- Forward iteration
- Conclusion

• Integrate the ODE for one sample period, τ .

Depending on the symbol the output changes as:

Overview

• A Simple Digital Channel

• What is going on here?

Parameter dependence

- The gasket examples
- The symbol space

Hyperbolic Iterated Function
 Systems

- $\bullet \operatorname{Addressing}\, K$
- IFSs with overlaps
- The channel example and Cantor sets
- Forward iteration
- Conclusion

$$y \mapsto f_0(y) = \lambda y$$

 $y \mapsto f_1(y) = \lambda y + b$

where
$$\lambda = e^{-\gamma \tau}$$
 and $b = e^{-\gamma \tau} \int_0^{\tau} e^{\gamma t} g(t) dt$

• Integrate the ODE for one sample period, τ .

Depending on the symbol the output changes as:



• A Simple Digital Channel

• What is going on here?

- Parameter dependence
- The gasket examples
- The symbol space
- Hyperbolic Iterated Function
 Systems
- $\bullet \operatorname{Addressing}\, K$
- IFSs with overlaps
- The channel example and Cantor sets
- Forward iteration
- Conclusion

- $\begin{array}{rccc} y & \mapsto & f_0(y) = \lambda y \\ y & \mapsto & f_1(y) = \lambda y + b \end{array}$
- where $\lambda = e^{-\gamma \tau}$ and $b = e^{-\gamma \tau} \int_0^\tau e^{\gamma t} g(t) dt$
- The sampled output is given by random composition

• Integrate the ODE for one sample period, τ .

Depending on the symbol the output changes as:

```
y \mapsto f_0(y) = \lambda yy \mapsto f_1(y) = \lambda y + b
```

where $\lambda = e^{-\gamma \tau}$ and $b = e^{-\gamma \tau} \int_0^\tau e^{\gamma t} g(t) dt$

The sampled output is given by random composition

For a random sequence $\{a_0, \ldots, a_p, \ldots\} \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ the sequence of output values is $\{y_1, y_2, y_3, \ldots\}$ where:

$$y_1 = f_{a_0}(y_0)$$

 $y_2 = f_{a_1}(y_1)$ and so on

• Generally, $y_n = f_{a_{n-1}} \circ \ldots \circ f_{a_1} \circ f_{a_0}(y_0)$

Overview

A Simple Digital Channel

What is going on here?

Parameter dependence

- The gasket examples
- The symbol space

Hyperbolic Iterated Function
 Systems

- ullet Addressing K
- IFSs with overlaps

• The channel example and Cantor sets

Forward iteration

Conclusion

Parameter dependence





• The channel example: rescale $y \mapsto y/b$ to get:

$$\{y \mapsto \lambda y, y \mapsto \lambda y + 1 : \lambda = e^{-\gamma \tau} \in [0, 1)\}$$

Plot: random iterates (plotted vertically) for each λ

The gasket examples

- The gasket examples can be thought of as IFSs
- Let's call the set of vertices of the triangle $P = \{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$. Then we have three maps that can be applied:

 $x \mapsto f_i(x) = s(x - p_i) + p_i$

- The random walk is given by random composition of these.
- For a random choices of the vertices $\{i_0, \ldots, i_n, \ldots\} \in P^{\mathbb{N}}$ the sequence of point visited in the plane is $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots\}$ where:

$$x_1 = f_{i_0}(x_0)$$

 $x_2 = f_{i_1}(x_1)$ and so on

• So that $x_2 = f_{i_1} \circ f_{i_0}(x_0)$ etc.







Overview

Systems

Cantor setsForward iteration

Conclusion

A Simple Digital ChannelWhat is going on here?

Parameter dependenceThe gasket examples

Hyperbolic Iterated Function

• The symbol space

Addressing K
IFSs with overlaps
The channel example and

The symbol space

- Overview
- A Simple Digital Channel
- What is going on here?
- Parameter dependence
- The gasket examples

The symbol space

- Hyperbolic Iterated Function
 Systems
- $\bullet \operatorname{Addressing} K$
- IFSs with overlaps
- The channel example and Cantor sets
- Forward iteration
- Conclusion

- We have been speaking of spaces $\Sigma = \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{N}}$ which consists of (all) semi-infinite strings of symbols from \mathcal{A} , a finite alphabet.
- This can be made into a metric space, say, by introducing

$$d(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{a}') = 2^{-\rho(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{a}')}$$

- where $\rho(a, a')$ is the maximum length of substrings starting at the left, over which the strings a and a' agree.
- It will be natural to think of the left shift dynamics $\sigma: \Sigma \to \Sigma$ on this space
- We will also be interested in the inverse of this the right shift

$$\sigma^{-1}\mathbf{a} = \{\sigma_a^{-1}\mathbf{a} = (a, \mathbf{a}) | a \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

For the application, think of a string a as the history of inputs to the system.

Hyperbolic Iterated Function Systems

- Let C be the set of nonempty compact subsets of a complete metric space, (X, d)
- Define the map $F: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$

$$F(U) = \bigcup_{a=1}^{|\mathcal{A}|} f_a(U)$$

Overview

- A Simple Digital Channel
- What is going on here?
- Parameter dependence
- The gasket examples
- The symbol space

Hyperbolic Iterated Function Systems

- $\bullet \operatorname{Addressing}\, K$
- IFSs with overlaps
- The channel example and Cantor sets
- Forward iteration
- Conclusion

 \mathcal{A} is a finite alphabet and the maps $\{f_a : a \in \mathcal{A}\}$ act on **X**. If each of the $\{f_a : a \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is a contraction mapping:

 $\mathsf{d}(f_a(x) - f_a(x')) \le c_a \mathsf{d}(x - x') \quad \text{with } c_a < 1 \quad \text{for every } x, x' \in \mathbf{X}$

• Then there is a set K which is the unique fixed point of F

$$K = \bigcup_{a=1}^{|\mathcal{A}|} f_a(K)$$

• For any $U \in \mathcal{C}$, $F^k(U) \to K$ in the Hausdorff metric.

Addressing K

• In the case of two symbols $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1\}$, K satifies

 $K = f_0(K) \cup f_1(K)$

Overview

- A Simple Digital Channel
- What is going on here?
- Parameter dependence
- The gasket examples
- The symbol space
- Hyperbolic Iterated Function
 Systems

$\bullet \operatorname{Addressing}\, K$

- IFSs with overlapsThe channel example and
- Cantor sets
- Forward iteration
- Conclusion

The maps are contractions so f₀(K) and f₁(K) are two smaller copies of K

■ We can rewrite the *K*s on the right hand side

 $K = f_0(f_0(K)) \cup f_0(f_1(K)) \cup f_1(f_0(K)) \cup f_1(f_1(K))$

- Now K is seen as the union of 4 even smaller copies of itself
- The process is sometimes called backward iteration
- Consider the taking the following limit of this process

$$\bigcap_{n\geq 0} f_{a_0} \circ f_{a_1} \circ \ldots \circ f_{a_n}(K)$$

- This converges uniformly to a single point in K which depends only on the infinite sequence $(a_0, a_1, \ldots) \in \Sigma$.
- This defines a continuous surjection $\pi: \Sigma \to K$.

IFSs with overlaps

• In the case of two symbols $\mathcal{A} = \{0, 1\}$, K satifies

 $K = f_0(K) \cup f_1(K)$

- Overview
- A Simple Digital Channel
- What is going on here?
- Parameter dependence
- The gasket examples
- The symbol space
- Hyperbolic Iterated Function
 Systems
- Addressing K
- IFSs with overlaps
- The channel example and Cantor sets
- Forward iteration
- Conclusion

■ All points in $f_0(K)$ have "0" as the first symbol in their addresses, and all points in $f_1(K)$ have "1" as their first symbol

- If $f_0(K) \cap f_1(K) \neq \emptyset$ then there are points which have at least two addresses, one beginning with "0" and one beginning with "1".
- Digital channel example

$$\begin{array}{rccc} y & \mapsto & f_0(y) = \lambda y \\ y & \mapsto & f_1(y) = \lambda y + b \end{array}$$

- If $f_0([0, b/(1 \lambda)]) \cap f_1([0, b/(1 \lambda)]) \neq \emptyset$ then $K = [0, b/(1 \lambda)]$ and so we have overlap
- Condition for overlap is then $b \le \lambda b/(1-\lambda) \iff \lambda \ge 1/2$

The channel example and Cantor sets

Digital channel example

Overview

- A Simple Digital Channel
- What is going on here?
- Parameter dependence
- The gasket examples
- The symbol space
- Hyperbolic Iterated Function
 Systems
- ullet Addressing K
- IFSs with overlaps
- The channel example and Cantor sets
- Forward iterationConclusion

- $y \mapsto f_0(y) = \lambda y$ $y \mapsto f_1(y) = \lambda y + b$
- If $\lambda < 1/2$ we have the strong separation condition $f_0(K) \cap f_1(K) = \emptyset$
- This implies the open set condition.
- It is also true that $\pi: \Sigma \to K$ is homeomorphism
- The middle thirds Cantor set is therefore topologically conjugate to (Σ, d)
- So we can find the Hausdorff dimension of K using the result for self-similar sets satisfying the open set condition:

$$\dim_H(K) = \dim_B(K) = \frac{\log 2}{\log \lambda^{-1}} < 1$$

- So for all $\lambda < 1/2$ the attractor K is totally disconnected
- All these attractors are therefore conjugate to the Cantor set.

Forward iteration

The iteration process represented by

$$x_n = f_{a_{n-1}} \circ \ldots \circ f_{a_1} \circ f_{a_0}(x_0)$$

is known as forward iteration

Think of $a = (a_{-1}, a_{-2}, a_{-3}...) \in \Sigma$ as the history of symbols input to the channel.

• We are currently at $x = \pi(\mathsf{a}) = \bigcap_{n \ge 1} f_{a_{-1}} \circ f_{a_{-2}} \circ \ldots \circ f_{a_{-n}}(K)$

If the next input is $a_0 \in A$, so we move to $a' = (a_0, a) \in \sigma^{-1}a$

- And in **x** we move to $x' = f_{a_0}(x)$ by forward iteration
- But $x' = \pi((a_0, \mathsf{a})) = \bigcap_{n \ge 0} f_{a_0} \circ f_{a_{-1}} \circ \ldots \circ f_{a_{-n}}(K)$
- We have a (semi-)conjugacy between the IFS dynamics on K and the right shift on Σ

$$f_{a_0} \circ \pi = \pi \circ \sigma_{a_0}^{-1}$$

where $\sigma_{a_0}^{-1}(a) = (a_0, a)$ is a possible value of the right shift.

• Overview

- A Simple Digital Channel
- What is going on here?
- Parameter dependence
- The gasket examples
- The symbol space
- Hyperbolic Iterated Function
 Systems
- ullet Addressing K
- IFSs with overlaps
- The channel example and Cantor sets

• Forward iteration

Conclusion

Conclusion

Overview

- A Simple Digital Channel
- What is going on here?
- Parameter dependence
- The gasket examples
- The symbol space
- Hyperbolic Iterated Function
 Systems
- Addressing K
- IFSs with overlaps
- The channel example and Cantor sets
- Forward iteration

Conclusion

We have completed the first 5 parts of the plan:

- Some properties of the Cantor set
- Ways to characterise fractals
- Hyperbolic iterated function systems (IFSs)
- Semi-infinite strings of symbols seen as a metric space
- Topological equivalence with the Cantor set
- Non-hyperbolic IFSs
- Digital forcing/controlling of an inverted pendulum