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Abstract—Given the plethora of interactions that social media users engage in, appropriately controlling access to their information becomes a challenging task for them. Selecting the appropriate audience, even from within their own friend network, can be fraught with difficulties especially considering the dynamic nature of the medium. In this paper, we propose PACMAN, a personal assistant agent that recommends personalized access control decisions based on the social context of any information disclosure by incorporating communities generated from the network structure of the user and utilizing information in their profiles, in addition to the particular content to be shared. We show in this paper that PACMAN provides highly accurate recommendations while minimizing intrusiveness via a thorough empirical evaluation using a collected dataset of actual access control decisions.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Social media has become synonymous with communication in daily life for most of us. On Facebook alone, over 1 billion users share over 300 petabytes of personal information daily. Social media users interact with people representing various facets of their life such as work, family, education, etc. In such a scenario, it is essential for them to make informed access control decisions to preserve the “contextual integrity” of their information. Any user who discloses information on social media has a notion of the “intended recipients” and the context in which they would view that information and hence preservation of “contextual integrity” is essential to avoid a privacy breach [1]. Unfortunately, the privacy controls afforded to users by social media sites make it burdensome to selectively share content within their friend network which results in a situation where they end up sharing their information with “unintended recipients” [2]. The mainstream social media sites such as Facebook and Google+ have taken steps to mitigate this by assisting users in managing their friend networks by creating Lists and Circles respectively. However, recent research findings suggest that hardly any users employ these features when making access control decisions, arguably due to the effort this requires from them [3]. Social media users can be assisted by recommendation systems which can guide them towards the appropriate access control decisions. It is well established that different users exhibit different access control behavior and often have differing privacy preferences. Therefore, it is essential that a recommendation system forms the core of a personal agent which can provide personalized recommendations to individual users. In recent times, we have seen personal agents being proposed to provide assistance to users in various social media issues such as ascertaining contexts of disclosure [4], detecting privacy violations when they happen [5] and negotiating multi-party privacy conflicts [6]. However, to the best of our knowledge, there is an absence of a personal agent which recommends personalized access control decisions to users to minimize the burden of expressing their individual sharing preferences. In this paper, we present PACMAN, a personal agent which provides a novel approach to learning access control decisions by combining social relationships and information about the content. The building blocks of PACMAN are identified by conducting detailed empirical evaluations that result in a highly accurate mechanism using a minimal set of appropriate attributes. Our results show that PACMAN produces an average accuracy of 91.8% (sd=6.5%, median=94.1%) across all users. We find that PACMAN works best for users who are more static in terms of the number of friends they grant access to.

2 PACMAN

Figure 1 shows the information that PACMAN uses to produce an access control recommendation (“allow” or “deny”). For social media users, the social context of information disclosure is considered essential to enable the formulation of access control policies in a way which preserves the “contextual integrity” of the information [7]. The social context can be derived from information which facilitate the definition of social relationships on these media. These interpersonal relationships can be defined in terms of relationship types, often denoted by communities [8] and relationship strength or closeness which is represented by similarity of profile attributes [9], [10]. In addition to relationships, the content itself is an integral part of the context of the disclosure and plays an important role in the formulation of a desired access control policy.
Therefore, the information about the content being shared (text, photos, etc.) should also be used to learn access control decisions [11].

2.1 Relationship Type
Social media users have various types of interpersonal relationships (e.g., friends, colleagues, family, etc.) with the people they interact with on the network. These can often be represented by partitioning one’s network into groups or communities. These partitions can then be leveraged by any access control mechanism such that the user may be asked to make access control decisions with respect to one or some members in a particular “community” (created by the algorithm), and then implement that decision for the other members in that community [12]. PACMAN uses network based community detection and requires the friend network of the user.

2.2 Relationship Strength
The interpersonal relationships between social media users can also be defined in terms of strength (or closeness). This is generally estimated by measuring similarity between profiles of individuals. There have been several proposed approaches in literature which suggest appropriate methods of estimating tie-strength or closeness such that it can be used to assist users in making informed access control decisions [13]. However, they all have the same limitations: 1) The information required from the profiles may not be easy to fetch and process and makes it difficult to provide users with real time assistance on a dynamic medium like social media; 2) Some profile attributes may often be missing as users often refrain from populating many fields on their social media profiles [9] and 3) Accessing certain types of personal information from the users’ profiles may be intrusive and hence counter productive for a privacy preserving mechanism. In our previous work [14], we performed a systematic analysis of all profile attributes available in social media profiles to select the minimal subset most suitable for predicting access control decisions with maximum possible accuracy. The analysis led to the identification of Total Friends (total size of a user’s friend network) and Mutual Friends (number of shared friends or contacts with the user) as the most appropriate profile attributes to enable prediction of access control decisions, while overcoming the discussed challenges. Therefore, PACMAN uses these two attributes to account for relationship strength between a user and each of their friends.

2.3 Content
The information about the content being shared can also be used to enhance access control mechanisms. The information about the content can be automatically mined and used to classify the content which can then be leveraged to inform access control decisions [15]. Different methods can be used to create attributes depending on the nature of the content (for eg: natural language processing techniques can be used for text and image processing can be used for photos). However, such analysis is still far from being completely automated in terms of accuracy to represent the user’s perception about the content. A particular method of mitigating this is by asking users to provide metadata in the form of “tags” while sharing the content. Previous research shows that such tags can also be used to create access control policies and that they are minimally disruptive for the user [16]. PACMAN is agnostic to the type of content being shared and hence different methods of obtaining information about the content can be implemented. If automatic analysis of content is implemented in PACMAN, it can operate completely without any user input as the other attributes, relationship type and relationship strength, are analyzed automatically by PACMAN.
3 Evaluations

To evaluate whether and to what extent access control decisions made by social media users can be learned by PACMAN, we conducted a user study in order to obtain ground truth access control decisions to use for learning which is the standard way of evaluating automated access control mechanisms in the literature.

3.1 Experiment

We created an application using Facebook Query Language (FQL) and the Facebook Graph API for participants to make access control decisions while disclosing 10 photos. Five of these photos were randomly downloaded from their Facebook profiles, and the participants were asked to select and bring 5 other photos which they had not yet uploaded on Facebook in order to avoid a scenario where a user makes access control decisions for all photos during the study for which they had already received comments and likes before, as that may have influenced their decisions. The participants were advised to bring photos which they considered to be personal (either included them or a family member) or considered sensitive so that they had a privacy implication. The different stages were:

1) The participants logged into the application using their Facebook credentials. They were then alerted about the data that would be accessed and asked for explicit permissions before moving on.

2) The participants were shown 10 photos sequentially on the screen, each on an individual page. They were asked to select categories for the photos from a predefined list of 15 popular Flickr categories, and make access control decisions for each photo. The friend list was shown alphabetically to the participants and they were instructed to select each and every friend that they would want to grant access to the photo. They were explicitly informed that any friend who was not selected would be denied access to the photo.

3) Once participants made the access control decisions and selected the categories for all 10 photos, their selections, friend lists and Total Friends and Mutual Friends profile attributes of all their friends were stored.

3.2 Participants

This research experiment was conducted at Lancaster University after being approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the university. Participants were recruited primarily from among the staff and students of the university. Additionally, we invited some participants who were external to the university through personal communication channels such as email, social networks, etc. All participants were compensated with £10 for their involvement in the study.

We applied the typical pre- and post-experiment checks to maximize data quality. In particular, before the experiment we screened participants and everyone who had a Facebook account and had uploaded at least 10 photos before the study was eligible to participate. After an initial registration phase, 31 participants were selected who took part. After completion of the user study, we checked all responses to make sure participants had correctly completed the experiment, finding 5 participants who did not (4 had randomly selected lists of alphabetically sorted friends, 1 had selected one single but different friend for each photo). The remaining 26 participants were considered for the analyses, including 15 males (57.7%) and 11 females (42.3%). The average age of the participants was 29 years (s.d = 6) and the average size of network was 265 friends (s.d = 121). The total number of access control decisions made by the 26 participants during the experiment, and hence the size of the ground truth dataset, was 67,660.

3.3 Implementation of PACMAN

The design of PACMAN described earlier in this paper was implemented using various building blocks to represent the different components shown in Figure 1. The information required from the users’ Facebook profiles and their access control decisions were obtained from the user study as described.

To represent Relationship Type, PACMAN uses community membership. In our previous work [17], we evaluated 8 well-known network based community detection algorithms for a goodness of fit with access control decisions made by social media users. Our analysis found Clique Percolation Method (CPM) to be the most suitable community detection algorithm in an access control scenario and CPM membership is used to represent Relationship Type in this implementation of PACMAN. The friend network of each user obtained during the user study was used as input to the CPM algorithm, implemented using the iGraph library, to create communities. Each of a user’s friends was assigned a community membership which was denoted using a binary vector, with dimension equal to the total communities of the user, to represent their relationship type in PACMAN. For this implementation, we used non-overlapping CPM communities such that each of the users’ friends belonged to exactly one particular community.

For Relationship Strength, the Total Friends and Mutual Friends attributes were directly fetched from the users’ profiles during the study and used as an input to the PACMAN mechanism.

As mentioned earlier, the design of PACMAN is agnostic to the type of content being shared as well as the method used to obtain information about the content. In this particular implementation, we used manual selection of photo categories in the form of “tags” to represent the information about content. This was done as it provided us with the user’s perspective about the content in a comparatively less intrusive way [16]. The users during the study were given an opportunity to select categories for the photos in the form of tags as mentioned earlier. While it was not mandatory to select categories for each photo, we found only 4 out of the 260 photos (10 per user) which
were not categorized. The average number of categories selected per photo was found to be 2.2. The content information was represented with a binary vector having a dimension of 15 (the total number of categories) representing whether each category was selected or not. Thus, a photo which was not categorized would be represented as all zeroes.

For evaluating PACMAN's performance, Weka was integrated into PACMAN to create and run the classifier using 10-fold cross validation to calculate accuracy of prediction produced for each individual user. There were 67,660 instances in total corresponding to all the access control decisions in the ground truth dataset. The attributes consisted of the CPM membership vector, total and mutual friends as well as the content vector representing the photo categories. In 10-fold cross validation, the entire dataset is randomly divided into 10 subsets, each of which are then used as training data (while leaving the other 9 as test sets) for each iteration. This process is repeated 10 times such that each subset gets to be the training set and the average error across all 10 iterations is considered as the final value. We performed 10-fold cross validation using the in-built function present in Weka which automatically divides the dataset into 10 random subsets. To the best of our knowledge, this is the most rigorous and systematic method of evaluating a classifier as it rules out the possible bias associated with division of a dataset into training and test sets.

PACMAN can work with any machine learning algorithm and for the evaluation, we tried Naive Bayes classification algorithm, Support Vector Machines (SVM) as well as Random Forest but found that Random Forest produced the best results and have only reported those in this paper due to lack of space.

3.4 Estimating User Effort
PACMAN recommends “allow” or “deny” access control decisions to the user corresponding to each member in their friend network. For PACMAN, both classes, “allow” and “deny” are of equal importance, as users would spend time and effort in correcting the erroneous recommendations made by PACMAN. In such a scenario, accuracy is appropriate as other metrics focus on giving more importance to one of the classes [18], e.g. when a program is to be classified as malware or not, positive classification is prioritized. To calculate accuracy, we use the access control decisions made by the users for all the 10 photos during the user study as the ground truth. In particular, for a user having $F$ total friends, the accuracy of PACMAN can be calculated as a percentage of the total recommendations that are correct:

$$\text{Accuracy} = ((F - \text{Errors})/F) \quad (1)$$

The Errors include both “allow” and “deny” errors. An Allow Error occurs when PACMAN recommends a “deny” decision to the user when it actually should have been “allow”. These errors are essentially “False Negative” (FN) recommendations and result in a “deny to allow” change being made by the user.

A Deny Error occurs when PACMAN recommends an “allow” decision to the user when it actually should have been “deny”. These errors are “False Positive” FP recommendations and result in an “allow to deny” change by the user.

$$\text{Errors} = \text{FN} + \text{FP} \quad (2)$$

We also calculated the correlation coefficients with respect to accuracy and the access control behavior of users. These coefficients are shown in Table II. The correlations confirm the hypothesis that users who have larger aver
Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th>Users</th>
<th>Statistic</th>
<th>Average Audience</th>
<th>Std. Dev. Audience</th>
<th>Comms. Used</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Allow Errors</th>
<th>Deny Errors</th>
<th>AUC</th>
<th>Relative Information Gain*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Higher</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>15.06</td>
<td>19.65</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>96.1%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>0.848</td>
<td><strong>0.170</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td></td>
<td>Std. Dev</td>
<td>14.30</td>
<td>22.34</td>
<td>3.88</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td>0.184</td>
<td><strong>0.290</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>59.87</td>
<td>57.46</td>
<td>10.33</td>
<td>83.8%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>6.6%</td>
<td>0.838</td>
<td><strong>0.155</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td></td>
<td>Std. Dev</td>
<td>20.61</td>
<td>17.82</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td><strong>0.162</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Average</td>
<td>30.57</td>
<td>32.74</td>
<td>6.96</td>
<td>91.8%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>0.845</td>
<td><strong>0.165</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Std. Dev</td>
<td>27.19</td>
<td>27.52</td>
<td>5.86</td>
<td>6.5%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td><strong>0.249</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The difference in relative information gain values was not found to be statistically significant between the clusters. All other differences were found to be statistically significant at the 99% confidence interval using the Mann-Whitney Test.

Average and Std dev values show aggregate statistics across all users of a particular cluster.

4.3 Contribution of Types of Attributes

We wanted to examine whether all three types of attributes were required and were contributing to the performance of PACMAN or whether one or more were redundant and could be avoided without compromising the performance. We calculated the relative information gain for each type of attribute as a ratio of the total information gain in order to compare the contribution for each individual user.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Average Audience</th>
<th>Std. Deviation Audience</th>
<th>Communities Used</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allow Errors</td>
<td>0.660**</td>
<td>0.576**</td>
<td>0.360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deny Errors</td>
<td>0.896**</td>
<td>0.800**</td>
<td>0.636**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>-0.880**</td>
<td>-0.777**</td>
<td>-0.558**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC</td>
<td>0.198</td>
<td>0.362</td>
<td>0.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rel. Type Gain</td>
<td>-0.149</td>
<td>-0.194</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rel. Strength Gain</td>
<td>-0.312</td>
<td>-0.402*</td>
<td>0.209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content Gain</td>
<td>0.428*</td>
<td>0.553**</td>
<td>0.194</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Correlation is significant at the 99% confidence level
*CORRELATION IS SIGNIFICANT AT THE 95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL
greater variation in their access control behavior. This notion is also supported by the correlation coefficients in Table II where we find that PACMAN relies more on Content for users who select larger audiences and have greater variation. Therefore, it is plausible that the PACMAN accuracy for such users would improve by training with more photos for each type of photo content.

5 Related Work

There have been many previous works in the area of predicting and recommending access control decisions to social media users. Many of these works use different types of attributes to enable prediction of access control decisions. Some approaches advocate the user of community membership while others rely on profile information to recommend access control decisions. The information about the content can also be used to determine the appropriate audience to be selected. PACMAN advances the state of art by using a combination of relationship based attributes, communities and profile attributes, to represent the “who” and the information about the content, the “what”, to represent the social context of disclosure.

6 Conclusions and Future Enhancements

In this paper, we presented PACMAN, which leverages information about interpersonal relationships between individuals on social networks and combines it with user supplied information about the content to recommend access control decisions. Our evaluations show that PACMAN produces highly accurate access control recommendations and all three components of PACMAN are important, and each individual component has varying importance for different users. PACMAN is found to rely on the information about the content more for users who have greater variation in their access control behavior.

Having considered only network based community detection for representing relationship type in PACMAN, we can consider social circles, based on contextual information, beyond social media profiles, such as co-location, as a possible future enhancement. Sensors on mobile devices can be used to identify contacts in the same location which could be used as an attribute. Looking at the reliance of PACMAN on content for the users with greater variation in access control behavior, other methods of extracting information about content such as the physical properties of the photos themselves could be considered to observe if it enhances the accuracy for such users. This would enable PACMAN to function without any user input and make it work in a scenario where a social network is a network of agents which make access control decisions based on automatic analysis of the attributes. Finally, PACMAN focuses on learning individual preferences, which may also be used as input to other tools that recommend access control decisions for multi-user scenarios.
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