

## 1. MORE PRELIMINARIES ON REPRESENTATIONS OF $GL_n(F)$

The example  $g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \log |\det(g)| \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  shows that not all smooth representations are semisimple.

**1.1. Haar measure.** It will be useful to think about integrating functions on  $G$  (and other locally profinite groups).

We let  $H$  be an arbitrary locally profinite group. The spaces of functions we'll be interested in are locally constant, compactly supported functions  $f : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . We denote this space of functions by  $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(H)$ . Locally constant means that for every  $g \in H$  there is an open neighbourhood  $g \in U$  such that  $f$  is constant on  $U$ . Compact support means that the closure of the set  $\{g \in H : f(g) \neq 0\}$  is compact. You can check that for  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(H)$  there is a compact open  $K \subset H$  such that  $f(gk) = f(g)$  for all  $g \in H$  and  $k \in K$ .

It's not hard to see that if  $f \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(H)$ , then there exists a compact open subgroup  $K \subset G$ , a finite collection of distinct cosets  $(g_i K)_{i=1}^r$  in  $G/K$  and constants  $c_i \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^r c_i \mathbf{1}_{g_i K}.$$

Our measures will be linear functionals  $\mu : \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(H) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . It follows from the previous paragraph that they are uniquely determined by the values  $\mu(gK) := \mu(\mathbf{1}_{gK})$ , where  $g$  runs over  $H$  and  $K$  runs over compact open subsets of  $H$ . We will demand that the volumes  $\mu(gK)$  are non-negative real numbers.

There are left and right actions of  $H$  on  $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(H)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} h *_\lambda f &: g \mapsto f(h^{-1}g) \\ h *_\rho f &: g \mapsto f(gh). \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 1.1.** A left Haar measure is a non-zero measure  $\mu$  on  $H$  with  $\mu(h *_\lambda f) = \mu(f)$  for all  $h \in H$ . You can guess what a right Haar measure is.

If we don't specify otherwise, when we say Haar measure we mean a left Haar measure.

It follows easily from our observation on elements in  $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(H)$  that a (left) Haar measure exists, and is unique up to a positive real scalar. For  $G = GL_n(F)$ , we will fix  $\mu_G$  to be the Haar measure with  $\mu_G(K_0) = 1$ . If  $K \subset K_0$  is compact open, then  $\mu_G(K) = [K_0 : K]^{-1}$ .

In general, if  $\mu$  is a left Haar measure then  $\mu$  may not be right-invariant. We can consider the measure  $\mu^g : f \mapsto \mu(g *_\rho f)$ . This is a left Haar measure, so we have  $\delta(g) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  with  $\mu^g = \delta(g)\mu$ . This defines a homomorphism  $\delta : H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ .

**Example.** (1)  $G = GL_n(F)$  is *unimodular*, i.e.  $\mu_G$  is a left and right Haar measure.

(2) Consider  $B \subset GL_2(F)$ . Then  $\delta \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{pmatrix} = |a/c|$ , where the absolute value is normalized by  $|\varpi| = |k_F|^{-1}$ .

**1.2. Hecke algebras.** In this section  $G$  can be an arbitrary locally profinite group. Note that some references assume  $G$  is unimodular. We denote by  $\mathcal{H}(G)$  the space of functions  $\mathcal{C}_c^\infty(G)$  equipped with the *convolution product*:

$$(f * f')(x) = \int_G f(g)f'(g^{-1}x)d\mu_G.$$

This is associative (exercise!), not commutative in general, and has no unit if  $G$  is not compact. It does have lots of idempotents: suppose  $K \subset G$  is compact open and let  $e_K = \frac{1}{\mu_G(K)}\mathbf{1}_K$ . Then  $e_K * e_K = e_K$ .

So we have a unital subalgebra  $\mathcal{H}(G, K) = e_K\mathcal{H}(G)e_K \subset \mathcal{H}(G)$ , with unit  $e_K$ .

The subalgebra  $\mathcal{H}(G, K)$  is given by functions which are ‘bi- $K$ -invariant’, i.e.  $f(k_1gk_2) = f(g)$  for all  $k_1, k_2 \in K$ . The compact support condition implies that we can think of these as finitely supported functions on the set of double cosets  $K \backslash G / K$ .

If  $(V, \pi)$  is a smooth representation of  $G$  we can define an action of  $\mathcal{H}(G)$  on  $V$  by:

$$\pi(f)v = \int_G f(g)\pi(g)v d\mu(g).$$

Remember that all of our integrals are secretly finite sums: if  $K$  is a compact open subgroup which fixes  $v$  and such that  $f(gk) = f(g)$  for all  $k \in K$  (such a  $K$  exists!) then we have

$$\pi(f)v = \sum_{g \in G/K} \mu_G(K)f(g)\pi(g)v$$

and this sum only has finitely many non-zero terms because  $f$  has compact support.

The  $\mathcal{H}(G)$ -module  $V$  inherits a smoothness property from the smoothness of  $\pi$ . We say that a  $\mathcal{H}(G)$  module  $v$  is smooth if every  $v \in V$  is fixed by  $e_K$  for some compact open subgroup  $K$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *The above construction gives an equivalence of categories between smooth  $G$ -representations and smooth  $\mathcal{H}(G)$ -modules.*

*Fix a compact open subgroup  $K$ . The map  $V \mapsto V^K$  induces a bijection between isomorphism classes of irreducible smooth representations with  $V^K \neq 0$  and isomorphism classes of simple  $\mathcal{H}(G, K)$ -modules.*

*Proof.* The first part is a formality (if  $V$  is a smooth  $\mathcal{H}(G)$ -module then for  $v \in V$  with  $e_K v = v$  we can define  $\pi(g)v = \frac{1}{\mu_G(K)}\mathbf{1}_{gK}v$ ). For the second part, it’s not hard to check that if  $V$  is irreducible then  $V^K$  is a simple  $\mathcal{H}(G, K)$ -module. If  $M$  is a simple  $\mathcal{H}(G, K)$ -module, define a  $\mathcal{H}(G)$ -module  $\tilde{M} = U/X$  where  $U = \mathcal{H}(G) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(G, K)} M$  and  $X$  is the largest  $\mathcal{H}(G)$ -submodule of  $U$  with  $e_K X = 0$  (equivalently, the largest  $\mathcal{H}(G)$ -submodule with  $X \cap e_K U = 0$ ). It can be checked that  $\tilde{M}$  is simple. If we start with a simple  $\mathcal{H}(G)$ -module  $V$  with  $V^K \neq 0$ , then the canonical map  $\mathcal{H}(G) \otimes_{\mathcal{H}(G, K)} V^K \rightarrow V$  is surjective and maps the submodule  $X$  to 0, so it induces an isomorphism  $\tilde{V}^K \cong V$ .  $\square$

For general  $K$  this theorem is perhaps not so useful, but it is very important in the case where  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$  and  $K = K_0$  (and other special cases). We can already see that the

Cartan decomposition tells us something about  $\mathcal{H}(G, K_0)$ . We will compute this Hecke algebra explicitly later, and show it is commutative.

Now seems as good as time as any to record:

**Definition 1.3.** A smooth representation  $(\pi, V)$  of a locally profinite group  $H$  is *admissible* if  $V^K$  is finite dimensional for all compact open subgroups  $K$ .

An important fact (which requires more development of the representation theory to prove) is that all irreducible smooth representations of  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$  are admissible (this is true more generally for  $p$ -adic reductive groups, but not for arbitrary locally profinite groups).

## 2. PARABOLIC INDUCTION

Here is an example.

Consider  $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  with its right action of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  (right multiplication on row vectors). Then we have a natural left action of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$  on the space of locally constant  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued functions  $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p))$ . This is a smooth representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . We have an inclusion of the trivial representation  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p))$  given by the constant functions. We will see that the cokernel of this inclusion is an irreducible (infinite-dimensional) smooth representation of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . It is called the *Steinberg representation*.

**2.1. Induction.** Let  $G$  be a locally profinite group, let  $H \subset G$  be a closed subgroup, and let  $(\sigma, W)$  be a smooth representation of  $H$ .

**Definition 2.1.** We define the space  $\mathrm{Ind}_H^G W$  of functions  $f : G \rightarrow W$  satisfying:

- for all  $h \in H$  and  $g \in G$ ,  $f(hg) = \sigma(h)g(f)$ ,
- there exists an open subgroup  $K \subset G$  (it can depend on  $f$ ) such that  $f(gk) = f(g)$  for all  $g \in G$  and  $k \in K$ .

We equip this by the (left) action of  $G$  given by right translation,  $\pi(g)f : g' \mapsto f(g'g)$ .

The second condition on the functions immediately tells us that  $\mathrm{Ind}_H^G W$  is a smooth representation of  $G$ .

We also define a sub-representation  $c\text{-Ind}_H^G W \subset \mathrm{Ind}_H^G W$  given by functions with compact support modulo  $H$  (i.e. there is a compact subset  $\Omega \subset G$  with the support of the function contained in  $H\Omega$ ). If  $H \backslash G$  is compact, then  $c\text{-Ind}_H^G W = \mathrm{Ind}_H^G W$ .

Here are some facts about induction:

- (1)  $\mathrm{Ind}_H^G$  and  $c\text{-Ind}_H^G$  define exact functors from smooth representations of  $H$  to smooth representations of  $G$  (it should be clear how to map a morphism of representations to a morphism of the induced representations).
- (2)  $\mathrm{Ind}$  and  $c\text{-Ind}$  are transitive: if  $H \subset H' \subset G$  then  $\mathrm{Ind}_{H'}^G \mathrm{Ind}_H^{H'} = \mathrm{Ind}_H^G$ .
- (3) (Frobenius reciprocity) The natural map  $f \mapsto f(1_G)$  induces isomorphisms

$$\mathrm{Hom}_G(V, \mathrm{Ind}_H^G W) = \mathrm{Hom}_H(V, W)$$

for any representation  $V$  of  $G$ .

- (4) Write  $\delta_H, \delta_G$  for the modulus characters of  $H$  and  $G$ . There is an isomorphism of  $G$ -representations

$$c\text{-Ind}_H^G(W)^\vee \cong \text{Ind}_H^G((\delta_G/\delta_H)W^\vee).$$

- (5) If  $H \backslash G$  is compact,  $\text{Ind}_H^G$  preserves admissibility.

(2), (3) and (5) are quite straightforward. Proofs of (1) and (4) can be found in Bernstein-Zelevinsky. The main ingredient for (1) is the following:

Let  $K$  be a compact open subgroup of  $G$  and fix a set  $\Omega$  of representatives for the double cosets  $H \backslash G / K$ . For each  $g \in \Omega$  set  $K_g = H \cap gKg^{-1}$ . Then the map

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{Ind}_H^G W)^K &\rightarrow \prod_{g \in \Omega} W^{K_g} \\ f &\mapsto (f(g))_{g \in \Omega} \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism (of vector spaces).

If we restrict to  $(\text{Ind}_H^G W)^K$  we obtain an isomorphism with  $\bigoplus_{g \in \Omega} W^{K_g}$ .

This is combined with the fact that taking invariants under  $K$  (or  $K_g$ ) is exact (since it is given by applying an idempotent in the Hecke algebra).

## 2.2. Parabolic induction.

### 3. EXERCISES

**3.1. Dual/contragredient representation.** Let  $(\pi, V)$  be a smooth representation of a locally profinite group  $H$ . Let  $K \subset H$  be a compact open subgroup. Define the map

$$\begin{aligned} e_K : V &\rightarrow V^K \\ v &\mapsto \int_K \pi(k)v d\mu_K(k) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mu_K$  is the Haar measure on  $K$  with  $\mu_K(K) = 1$  (note that  $K$  is unimodular).

- (1) Show that  $e_K$  gives a splitting to the natural inclusion  $V^K \hookrightarrow V$ .

Let  $(\pi^*, V^*)$  be the dual representation. Let  $V^\vee$  be the subset of *smooth* vectors in  $V^*$ : those  $v \in V^*$  such that  $\text{Stab}_H(v)$  is open.

- (2) Show that  $\pi^*$  restricts to a smooth representation of  $H$  on  $V^\vee$ .

We denote this restriction by  $\pi^\vee$ . This is the *smooth dual* or *contragredient dual* representation of  $\pi$ . We will usually just say ‘dual’ because all our representations will be smooth.

- (3) Show that if  $\pi$  is admissible, then  $\pi^\vee$  is admissible and the natural map  $V \rightarrow (\pi^*)^*$  induces an isomorphism  $V \cong (\pi^\vee)^\vee$ .

*Hint: show that  $(V^\vee)^K$  can be identified with the dual of  $V^K$ , for any compact open  $K$ .*

**3.2. Double coset operators.** Let  $K$  be a compact open subgroup of  $G$ , let  $g \in G$  let  $[KgK] \in \mathcal{H}(G, K)$  be the indicator function of the double coset  $KgK$ .

If  $(V, \pi)$  is a smooth  $G$ -representation and  $v \in V^K$ , show that  $\pi([KgK])v = \mu_G(K) \sum_{i=1}^r \gamma_i v$  where  $KgK = \coprod_{i=1}^r \gamma_i K$ .

Similarly, show that if  $f \in \mathcal{H}(G, K)$  then  $[KgK] * f = \mu_G(K) \sum_{i=1}^r \gamma_i *_{\lambda} f$ .

**3.3. Commutativity of spherical Hecke algebra.** Let  $G = \mathrm{GL}_n(F)$ .

- (1) Show that the map  $\iota : \mathcal{H}(G, K_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(G, K_0)$  defined by  $\iota(f)(g) = f(g^t)$  (the transpose) satisfies  $\iota(f * f') = \iota(f') * \iota(f)$ .
- (2) Use the Cartan decomposition to show that  $\iota$  is the identity, and therefore  $\mathcal{H}(G, K_0)$  is commutative.

**3.4. Spherical Hecke algebra for  $\mathrm{GL}_2$ .** Let  $G = \mathrm{GL}_2(F)$  and write  $T_r = [K_0 \begin{pmatrix} \varpi^r & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} K_0]$

(for  $r \geq 1$ ),  $S = [K_0 \begin{pmatrix} \varpi & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi \end{pmatrix} K_0] \in \mathcal{H}(G, K_0)$ .

Show that  $T_1 * T_r = T_{r+1} + |\varpi|^{-1} S * T_{r-1}$ .

*Hint: using the Cartan decomposition, to identify a Hecke operator you just need to check its values on elements of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} \varpi^a & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi^b \end{pmatrix}$ .*