

Serre's Duke paper:

Plan

Serre's 1979 article surveys a conjecture about the relationship between map Galois reps and mod p cusp forms. We will begin by looking at his definitions of those two objects.

① Introduction

We will then motivate and state the conjecture from the paper

② Statement of conjecture

Before going into detail about his recipe for the level N and character χ

③ Recipe for level and character

And then for the weight k

④ Recipe for weight

Finally, if there is time we will briefly explore an application of Serre's conjecture

⑤ Application

§ 1 Introduction

§ 1.1 Modular (cusp) forms.

Fix throughout p a prime

$N \geq 1$ an integer prime to p

$k \geq 2$ an integer

ε a character $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^* \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{F}_p}^*$

Suppose as well that if $p=2$ k is even otherwise

$$\varepsilon(-1) = (-1)^k$$

subgroup of $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$
s.t. $(*) \quad * \equiv 0 \pmod{N}$

Def: A cusp form of type (k, ε_0) on $\Gamma_0(N)$

is a formal power series $F = \sum_{n \geq 1} A_n q^n \quad A_n \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}}, \quad q = e^{2\pi i z}$
which converges in the half plane $\text{Im}(z) > 0$ satisfying

for $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N) \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \quad \text{Im}(z) > 0$

$$F\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = \varepsilon_0(d)(cz+d)^k F(z)$$

and vanishing at cusps.

Identifying $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ with a subfield of \mathbb{C} and choosing a place over p defines a homomorphism $\bar{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{F}_p}$

$$z \mapsto \tilde{z}$$

Def : A mod p cusp form of type (N, k, ε) is
 a formal power series $f = \sum a_n q^n$ with $a_n \in \bar{\mathbb{F}_p}$
 such that lifting the coefficients a_n under the map
 above gives a cusp form $F = \sum_{n \geq 1} A_n q^n$ $A_n \in \bar{\mathbb{Z}}$
 of type (k, ε_0) on $\Gamma_0(N)$ where $\widetilde{\varepsilon_0}(z) = \varepsilon(z)$ and
 $\tilde{A}_n = a_n$.

Rmk: The space of such f is denoted by $\mathcal{S}(N, k, \varepsilon)$. It
 is stable under Hecke operators and normalized
 Hecke eigenforms correspond (again via $z \mapsto \tilde{z}$)
 to Hecke eigenforms in $\mathcal{S}(k, \varepsilon_0)$ on $\Gamma_0(N)$ (not uniquely)

7 1.2 Galois representations

Let $G_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} = \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$

Def : A mod p Galois rep is a continuous homomorphism of dim n
 $\rho : G_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(\bar{\mathbb{F}_p})$

$\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ has profinite topology. The continuity of $\rho \Rightarrow$
 it has an open kernel and therefore $\text{Im } \rho$ is finite
 and so it factors through finite extensions.

If $n=1$ we call $\phi: G \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}^\times$ a character.

Again considering $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ as a subfield of \mathbb{C} take c to be the element of $G_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}$ corresponding to complex conjugation

Define the parity of a character ϕ to be odd if $\phi(c) = -1$ and even if $\phi(c) = 1$.

The parity of a rep ρ is the parity of the character $\det \rho$.

FACT : semisimple mod p reps of dimension 2 are determined by $\text{tr}_\rho(\text{frob}_\ell)$ and $\det_\rho(\text{frob}_\ell)$ $\forall \ell$ outside a finite set of primes, for which ρ is unramified
 $\rho|_I$ trivial

9.1.2.1 Note on cyclotomic characters

Consider the Dirichlet character $\varepsilon: (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^\times$.

We have an isomorphism $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times \cong \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)/\mathbb{Q})$ for ζ_N a primitive N^{th} root of unity. Kronecker-Weber theorem tells us that there is a bijection between the set of characters ϕ of $G_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}}$ that factor through $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)/\mathbb{Q})$ and characters ε .

Applying this to $N=p$ and $\varepsilon = \text{id}$ the corresponding character χ_p is called the mod p cyclotomic character. We have $\chi_p(\text{Frob}_\ell) = \ell$ for $\ell \neq p$ prime and $\chi_p(c) = -1$.

§ 2 Statement of conjecture

§ 2.1 Motivation

Consider the following form of Deligne

Thm (Deligne 1975): If $f = \sum a_n q^n$ is a normalized Hecke eigenform with coeff in $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ then there exists a cont. semisimple rep

$$\rho_f : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \longrightarrow \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$$

Characterized by the following properties:

For any $\ell \nmid pN$ ρ_f is unramified at ℓ and $\text{Tr } \rho_f(\text{Frob}_\ell) = a_\ell$ and $\det \rho_f(\text{Frob}_\ell) = \varepsilon(\ell) \ell^{k-1}$

Rank: The reps are actually semisimplified p -adic $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ reps reduced mod p ($\rho_f = \bar{\rho}$ where $\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$)

Rmk using the remarks in section § 1.2.1

We can see that the property

$$\det p_f(\text{Frob}_\ell) = \varepsilon(\ell) \ell^{k-1} \text{ is equivalent to}$$

$$\det p_f(\text{Frob}_\ell) = \chi_p^{k-1}(\text{Frob}_\ell) \varepsilon(\text{Frob}_\ell)$$

$$\Rightarrow \det p_f = \varepsilon \chi_p^{k-1} \quad \begin{matrix} \text{check by looking at} \\ \text{action of} \\ (-1) \end{matrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \det p_f(c) = \varepsilon(c) \chi_p^{k-1}(c) = (-1)^k (-1)^{k-1} = -1$$

hence p_f is odd.

§ 2.2 Sere's conjectures

① 'weak' form

$$\text{Let } \rho: G_\mathbb{Q} \rightarrow GL(V) \cong GL_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$$

be an irreducible mod p Galois rep

then there exists a Hecke eigenform f with coeff in $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ such that $P_f \cong \rho$

② 'strong' form

Not only does such a mod p cusp form f exist but it can be chosen to be of type (N, k, ε)

where Sere provides an explicit recipe to

find N, k and ε from the rep ρ .

This has been shown to be false in some cases ie ρ from $\mathbb{Q}(6)$, $p=2$
 ρ from $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_3)$, $p=3$

In fact k relates only to the 'local to p ' properties of p

9.3 Recipe for level N and character ε .

9.3.1 The level N

Sene conjectures the level N to be the Artin conductor minus the p part.

Let ℓ be a prime of \mathbb{Q} , fix a finite Galois extension K/\mathbb{Q} with Galois gp G and any prime λ of K over ℓ the decomposition gp of ℓ is $D_\ell = \{g \in G : g\lambda \subset \lambda\}$ and for a nonnegative integer i the i th ramification gp $G_{\ell,i} = \{g \in D_\ell : g(x) - x \in \lambda^i, \forall x \in \mathbb{Q}_\ell\}$ giving rise to a sequence of decreasing subgroups of D_ℓ .

The D_ℓ are subgroups of G_ℓ s.t. if λ' is another prime of K over ℓ then the corresponding decomposition group will be conjugate in G_ℓ . We can also define the decomposition group of ℓ as $G_\ell = \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell/\mathbb{Q}_\ell)$ identified with a subgroup of G_ℓ via a choice of embedding $\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. Varying G_ℓ via a choice of embedding corresponds to conjugation.

Therefore choosing an embedding $\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ we can define a decreasing sequence of ramification subgroups of G_ℓ at ℓ $D_\ell \supseteq G_0 \supseteq G_1 \supseteq \dots$ where G_0 is the inertia subgroup i.e. corresponds to kernel of $G_\ell \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell/\mathbb{F}_\ell)$. The $G_{\ell,0}$ above will be the image of G_0 in G where λ corresponds to the embedding $\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ via

The share of embeddings $\bar{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}_\ell} +$ map $\kappa \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ not defined $G_0 \rightarrow G$

We can now define $n(\ell, p) = \sum_{i>0} \frac{1}{[G_0 : G_i]} \dim(V/V^i)$

$$= \dim(V/V^\circ) + b(V)$$

which is naturally an integer

'wild invariant' of
 G_0 module V

Seite conjectures that the level

$$N = \prod_{\substack{\ell \neq p \\ \text{prime}}} \ell^{n(\ell, p)}$$

Rmk : $n(\ell, p) = 0 \iff G_0 = \mathbb{Z}$ i.e. p is unramified at ℓ

Context

Rmk : Evidence to support / motivate such a prediction

Crayat and Livné showed if $p \geq p_f$ then
this value at least divides the level of f .

~

§ 3.2 character ε and class of $k \pmod{p^2}$)

Note $\det p : G_Q \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{F}_p}^*$ defines a character for which one can check the conductor divides pN .

Recall the bijection discussed in § 1.2.1 that allows us to identify $\det p$ with a Dirichlet character $\phi : (\mathbb{Z}/pN\mathbb{Z})^* \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{F}_p}^*$

or equivalently the pair of characters

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi &: (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^* \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{F}_p}^* \\ \varepsilon &: (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^* \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{F}_p}^*\end{aligned}$$

where ε is suggestively named as it refers to Serre's prediction for the character of the mod p modular form we are looking for.

Furthermore $\varphi = \chi_p^n$ in $\mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z}$ therefore for $l \nmid pN$ we have $\det(\text{frob}_{l,p}) = l^n \varepsilon(l)$ comparing this to the description of P_l in § 2.1 that some conjugates isomorphic to P we see that we want $k-1 \equiv h \pmod{p}$.

§ 4 Recipe for the weight k .

§ 4.1 "local at p " Galois reps.

Some conjectures that the weight k depends on the

"local at p " representation, that is the rep

$$\rho_p : G_p \rightarrow GL(V) \cong GL_2(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p)$$

where $G_p = \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p / \mathbb{Q}_p)$.

In fact we describe a recipe for finding a weight k that depends only on the restriction of ρ_p to the inertia subgroup I of G_p

I is the kernel of $G_p \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p / \mathbb{F}_p)$ where $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p$ is identified with the residue field of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$.

Let I_p be the largest pro- p -subgroup of I (the wild inertia) and set $I_t = I/I_p$ the tame inertia group.

We can identify I_t with $\varprojlim F_{p^n}^\times$ (Kummer theory epimorph.)
 $\varprojlim \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^I(\zeta_{p^n}) / \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p^I)$
 $= \varprojlim F_{p^n}^\times$

giving rise to the following definitions

Def: A character of I_t has level n if it factors through $F_{p^n}^\times$ but not $F_{p^m}^\times$ in strict divisor of n

Def: The set of n fundamental characters of level n are the $\overline{F_p}$ characters

$\varphi_n : I_E \rightarrow \overline{F_{p^n}}^* \hookrightarrow \overline{F_p}^*$ corresponding to the n embeddings $\overline{F_{p^n}}^* \hookrightarrow \overline{F_p}^*$.

Fact (some): These fundamental characters generate all level n characters.

Giving back to our rep p , let V^{ss} be the semisimplification of V wrt the action of G_p

Fact (some): I_p acts trivially on V^{ss}

Idea of proof: enough to show I_p trivially on simple $V \rightarrow$ Let $W \subset V$ be subspace fixed by I_p

- (1) nontrivial $p(I_p)$ -point over I_p - orbits of p -powers over I_p
- (2) $I_p \trianglelefteq G_p$ so W stable under G_p so $W = V$ by simplicity.

Therefore defines an action of I_E on V^{ss} which is diagonalizable and can be written in terms

of two characters $\varphi, \varphi' : I_E \rightarrow \overline{F_p}^* \quad p^{ss}|_{I_E} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi' \end{pmatrix}$

Prop 1 from some paper: φ and φ' have level 1 or 2

and if they have level 2 they are p^m powers of each other.

Idea of proof: $G_p \rightarrow G_p/I_p = \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_p/\mathbb{F}_p)$ $u \in I_p$ sends $\epsilon^{u^p} \in u^p I_p$ i.e. $s \in I_p$ by conjugation
 $s \mapsto \epsilon^{u^p} s \epsilon^{-u^p} \Rightarrow \epsilon u \epsilon^{-1}$ stable under p^m
 sending $u \mapsto u^p$ power
 i.e either $\varphi^p = \varphi$ $\varphi^{p^2} = \varphi^p$ [level 1]
 or $\varphi^p = \varphi^l$ $\varphi^{p^2} = \varphi^l$ [level 2]

§4.2 Level 2 case

Context +

Theorem (Fontaine 1979) $f = \sum a_n q^n \pmod{p}$ crop form of type (N, k, ε) with $2 \leq k \leq p+1$ $a_p = 0$
 then $P_f|_{I_p}$ irreducible and for Ψ and Ψ'
 the two fundamental characters of level 2

$$P_f|_{I_p} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \Psi^{k-1} & 0 \\ 0 & \Psi'^{k-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let φ, φ' be as in the previous section be of level 2.
 Then V is irreducible since otherwise it would contain a one dim subspace which would correspond to a level 1 character of I_p .

Let Ψ and Ψ' be the two fundamental characters of I_p . As discussed they generate all level 2 characters so we can write

$$\varphi = \Psi^a \Psi'^b = \Psi^{a+p b} \quad \text{some } 0 \leq a, b \leq p-1$$

($a+b$ since otherwise φ is a power of a cyclotomic character restricted to I_p and therefore of level 1)

$$\varphi' = \Psi'^b \Psi'^a \quad \text{so up to interchanging } \Psi, \Psi'$$

we may assume $0 \leq a \leq b \leq p-1$ and set $k = 1 + pa + b$.

44.3 level I tame case.

Suppose φ and φ' have level I and the action of I_p on V is trivial.

Then we have the action of I on V is semisimple and the characters φ and φ' are powers of the cyclotomic character

$$\text{we can write } P_p|_I = \begin{pmatrix} \chi^a & 0 \\ 0 & \chi^b \end{pmatrix} \quad a, b \text{ determined} \pmod{(p)}$$

so up to swapping a, b and normalizing we may assume $0 \leq a \leq b \leq p-2$

and set $k = \begin{cases} 1 + pa + b & \text{if } (a, b) \neq (0, 0) \\ p & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ (unramified case)
 $I \curvearrowright V$ trivial

44.4 level I non tame case

I_p does not act trivially on V and hence the action of I is not tame. Let D be the line of elements of V fixed by I_p that is stable under G_p

let the character Θ_i correspond to the action of G_p on V/D

and θ_2 are actions on V s.t. $P_p = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_2 & * \\ 0 & \theta_1 \end{pmatrix}$

we have $\theta_1 = \chi^\alpha \varepsilon_1$, $\theta_2 = \chi^\beta \varepsilon_2$ $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ unramified

characters of G_p . Then restricting to I we get

$$P_p|_I = \begin{pmatrix} \chi^\beta & * \\ 0 & \chi^\alpha \end{pmatrix} \text{ normalizing } \alpha, \beta$$

we have $0 \leq \alpha \leq p-2$, $1 \leq \beta \leq p-1$ and setting $a = \min\{\alpha, \beta\}$

$$b = \max\{\alpha, \beta\}$$

some details k corresponding to 3 different cases

① $\beta \neq \alpha+1$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \right\} k = 1 + pa + b$$

② $\beta = \alpha+1$ P_p p unramified

③ $\beta = \alpha+1$ P_p p ramified

$$k = \begin{cases} 1 + pa + b + p - 1 & p \neq 2 \\ 4 & p = 2 \end{cases}$$

55 Applications

Semis conjecture could be used to prove FLT although its actual proof used only the special case required for the proof, not the full conjecture.

FLT : Assume Semis conjecture then

(*) $a^p + b^p + c^p = 0$ has no solutions $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $abc \neq 0$.

Idea of proof : suppose (a, b, c) was a solution

let E be the elliptic curve corresponding to

(**) at (a, b, c) the rep ρ_p^E of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ given by the p -torsion points of E is irreducible and Semis conjecture would say $\rho_p^E \cong \rho_f$ where f is a cusp form of weight 2 and level 2 with coeff. in $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$ but such a cusp form does not exist.